Implementation and Monitoring Stage Quality Assurance Report

Overall Project Rating: Satisfactory

Continue as planned: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. All management actions Decision:

must be addressed in a timely manner.

Project Number: 00088358

Project Title: Conservación de Biodiversidad y servicios ecosistémicos en humedales protegidos

Project Date: 01-Jan-2016

Strategic Quality Rating: Satisfactory

- 1. Is the project pro-actively taking advantage of new opportunities, adapting its theory of change to respond to changes in the development context, including changing national priorities? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects this project)
 - 3: The project team completed and documented a horizon scanning exercise in the past year to identify new opportunities and changes in the development context that require adjustments in the theory of change. There is clear evidence that the project board has considered the implications, and documented changes to the project's theory of change, RRF, partnerships, etc. made in response, as appropriate. (both must be true to select this option)
 - 2: The project team has undertaken some horizon scanning in the past year to identify new opportunities and changes in the development context. The project board discussed the scanning and its implications for the project, as reflected in the board minutes. There is some evidence that the project took action as a result, but changes may not have been fully integrated in the project's theory of change, RRF, partnerships, etc.
 - 1: The project team may have considered new opportunities and changes in the development context since implementation began, but this has not been discussed in the project board. There is limited to no evidence that the project team has considered changes to the project as a result. This option would also be selected if no horizon scanning has been done to date during project implementation.

Evidence

La teoría de cambio del proyecto es consistente. No obstante la Junta Ejecutiva del Proyecto ha evaluado medidas para acelerar la implementación, pero no se perfila ningún cambio necesario a la teoría de cambio o el marco de resultados del Proyecto. Los ajustes son en términos de la operatividad del Proyecto.

- 2. Is the project aligned with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
 - 3: The project responds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least one of the proposed new and emerging areas; implementation is consistent with the issues-based analysis incorporated into the project design; and the project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option)
 - 2: The project responds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option)
 - 1: While the project may respond to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan, it is based on a sectorial approach without addressing the complexity of the development issue. None of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF. This option is also selected if the project does not respond to any of the three SP areas of development work.

Evidence Management Response

El proyecto está alineado con las áreas de trabajo del SP,

específicamente en lo relativo a vías para el desarrollo Sostenible y la construcción de Resilencia en las áreas geográficas directamente vinculadas en el proyecto.

3. Evidence generated through the project has been explicitly used to confirm or adjust the programme/CPD's theory of		
change.		
Yes		
O No		
Evidence		
Los diagnósticos que producirá el proyecto aún est considerados en el Programa.	án en construcción, por lo que aún no se tiene datos que puedan ser	
Delevent	Overlity Detines Setisfactory	
Relevant	Quality Rating: Satisfactory	
	atically engaged, with a priority focus on the excluded and rant for them? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)	
with a priority focus on the excluded and marginalize targeted groups are active members of the project's	en collected over the past year from a representative sample of beneficiaries, ed, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is decision making. (all must be true to select this option)	
marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be a	ementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the excluded and anecdotal, has been collected over the past year to ensure the project is n used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this	
1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been oproject decision making. This option is also selected.	collected over the past year, but this information has not been used to inform d if no beneficiary feedback has been collected.	
Not Applicable		
Evidence	Management Response	
En efecto en 2017 el proyecto ha avanzado en la co de los 3 nuevos comités RAMSAR, con la finalidad a los actores locales en la solución del proyecto.		
this knowledge informed management decisions	arly lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) – and has and changes/course corrections to ensure the continued relevance of ity of its outputs and the management of risk? (select the option from 1-3	
Workshops) by credible evidence from evaluation, co	ned, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned orporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring have been discussed in There is clear evidence that the project's theory of change has been adjusted,	

as needed, and changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true to select this option)

7/11/2019	Implementation and Monitoring Stage Quality Assurance Report
•	ed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, have been e evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued otion)
1: There is limited or no evidence that kno or no evidence that this has informed project decrease.	owledge and lessons learned have been collected by the project team. There is little ecision making.
Evidence	Management Response
Acciones específicas se están desarrollando de conocimiento y lecciones aprendidas, ader de evidencia para demostracoines en cuanto a normativas que afecten estos territorios. Al mo el diseño de la herramienta de monitoreo, pero con aplicaciones móviles que recogen informa guardarecursos.	más estos servirán a posibles omento se está en o ya se cuenta
elevant and producing the intended effect? he option from 1-3 that best reflects the proj 3: The project team has systematically go measures to address gender inequalities and eand changes, as appropriate. (both must be true.) 2: The project team has some data and early early and early and early and early and early and early	athered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the empowering women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments
1: The project team has limited or no evid women. No evidence of adjustments and/or characteristics.	dence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering anges being made. This option should also be selected if the project has no empowering women relevant to the project results and activities.
Evidence	Management Response
El proyecto prevee hacer mediciones desagre que el enfoque de género pueda ser previsto e de políticas o acciones específicas. Especialn territorios, donde hay una gran cantidad de mu hogar y que son las principales actores en los	en la formulación mente en los ujeres jefas de
levelopment change? (select the option from	ere potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to m 1-3 that best reflects the project)

- significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.
- 2: While the project is currently not at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
 - 1: The project is not at scale, and there are no plans currently to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence

El diseño del proyecto ha contemplado una escala adecuada en la medida que abarca los humedales en todo el territorio nacional

Social & Environmental Standards	Quality Rating: Satisfactory
8. Does the project seek to further the realization options 1-3 that best reflects this project)	ion of human rights using a human rights based approach? (select from
	s the realization of human rights, on the basis on applying a human rights based byment of human rights are actively identified, managed and mitigated through the to select this option)
	the realization of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of uately mitigated through the project's management of risks.
1: No evidence that the project aims to furth impacts on enjoyment of human rights are mana	her the realization of human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse aged.
Evidence	Management Response
En el diseño de proyecto se ha contemplado el riesgos con enfoque de derecho: inclusivo, enfo	
that have no social or environmental risks the Yes No Evidence	accordance with project document and relevant action plans? (for project answer is "Yes")
Si, se ha dado seguimiento a los riesgos identif	ficados en el diseño del proyecto de manera de preveer posibles impactos.
10. Are unanticipated social and environmenta	
	al issues or grievances that arise during implementation assessed and nent plans updated? (for projects that have not experienced unanticipated the answer is "Yes")

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

11. Is the project's M&E Plan being adequately implemented? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

data against indicators in the project's R frequency stated in the Plan, including so decentralized evaluation standards, inclu	and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones are fully populated. Progress RF is being reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the ex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet ding gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, including during evaluations and/or After ive actions when necessary. (all must be true to select this option)
the project's RRF is collected on a regular Plan and data sources are not always rel	lan, and most baselines and targets are populated. Progress data against indicators in ar basis, although there may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the liable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, meet most decentralized evaluation aptured but may not have been used to take corrective actions yet. (all must be true to
being regularly collected against the indi-	at costs are not clearly planned and budgeted for, or are unrealistic. Progress data is not cators in the project's RRF. Evaluations may not meet decentralized evaluation standards used. Select this option also if the project does not have an M&E plan.
Evidence	Management Response
El proyecto posee un M&E marco basta por el GEF.	ante claro y aprobado
12. Is project's governance mechanism from 1-3 that best reflects the project)	(i.e., the project board or equivalent) functioning as intended? (select the option
3: The project's governance mechan frequency stated in the project document reporting to the project board or equivalent and uses evidence, including progress dedecisions (e.g., change in strategy, approved to the project's governance mechan project progress report has been submitted and opportunities. (both must be true to the project board or equivalent is read to	nism is operating well, and is a model for other projects. It has met in the agreed that and the minutes of the meetings are on file. There is regular (at least annual) progress and on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviews ata, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management each, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option) In the agreed frequency and the minutes of the meeting are on file. A sted to the project board or equivalent at least once in the past year, covering results, risks select this option) In the in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year mot functioning as a decision making body for the project as intended.
3: The project's governance mechan frequency stated in the project document reporting to the project board or equivalent and uses evidence, including progress dedecisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach as a project progress report has been submitted and opportunities. (both must be true to a 1: The project's governance mechan and/or the project board or equivalent is residuence.	nism is operating well, and is a model for other projects. It has met in the agreed that and the minutes of the meetings are on file. There is regular (at least annual) progress and on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviews ata, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management boach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option) Inism has met in the agreed frequency and the minutes of the meeting are on file. A sted to the project board or equivalent at least once in the past year, covering results, risks select this option) Inism has not met in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year not functioning as a decision making body for the project as intended. Management Response
3: The project's governance mechan frequency stated in the project document reporting to the project board or equivalent and uses evidence, including progress dedecisions (e.g., change in strategy, appropriate the project progress report has been submitted and opportunities. (both must be true to and/or the project board or equivalent is respect to the project board or equivalent is resp	nism is operating well, and is a model for other projects. It has met in the agreed that and the minutes of the meetings are on file. There is regular (at least annual) progress and on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviews ata, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management boach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option) Inism has met in the agreed frequency and the minutes of the meeting are on file. A sted to the project board or equivalent at least once in the past year, covering results, risks select this option) Inism has not met in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year not functioning as a decision making body for the project as intended. Management Response

https://intranet.undp.org/sites/SLV/project/00088358_layouts/15/projectqa/print/ImplementationMonitoringPrintV3.aspx?fid=SLV_00088358_IMPLEMENTATION... 5/10

2: The project has monitored risks every quarter, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates have been made to

1: The risk log has not been updated every quarter as required. There may be some evidence that the project has monitored risks that may affect the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions have been

management plans and mitigation measures.

taken to mitigate risks.

Evidence	Management Response
En 2017, se realizó un seguimiento continuo a los riesgos identificados, pero también hubo un seguimiento operativo, lo que permitió identificar otros riesgos de caracter operativo que fueron resueltos con el apoyo del MARN	
ficient	Quality Rating: Satisfactory
4. Adequate resources have been mobilized to achieve int djust expected results in the project's results framework.	ended results. If not, management decisions were taken to
Yes	
O No	
Evidence	
Los recursos necesarios para el proyecto han sido movilizado. MARN programa los planes de trabjo anual a fin de asegurar e	
Los recursos necesarios para el proyecto han sido movilizado. MARN programa los planes de trabjo anual a fin de asegurar e	
MARN programa los planes de trabjo anual a fin de asegurar e	respective ASL anual. iciently contribute to results? (select the option from 1-3 that notation of the plan is on or ahead of schedule. The project quarterly
MARN programa los planes de trabjo anual a fin de asegurar e 5. Are project inputs procured and delivered on time to effects reflects the project) 3: The project has an updated procurement plan. Implement reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely reactions. (all must be true to select this option)	respective ASL anual. iciently contribute to results? (select the option from 1-3 that intation of the plan is on or ahead of schedule. The project quarterly manner and addresses them through appropriate management ect annually reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a
MARN programa los planes de trabjo anual a fin de asegurar e 5. Are project inputs procured and delivered on time to effects reflects the project) 3: The project has an updated procurement plan. Impleme reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely ractions. (all must be true to select this option) 2: The project has an updated procurement plan. The projetimely manner and addresses them through appropriate management.	respective ASL anual. iciently contribute to results? (select the option from 1-3 that intation of the plan is on or ahead of schedule. The project quarterly manner and addresses them through appropriate management ect annually reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a sement actions. (all must be true to select this option) i. The project may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks.
MARN programa los planes de trabjo anual a fin de asegurar e 5. Are project inputs procured and delivered on time to effects reflects the project) 3: The project has an updated procurement plan. Implement reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely reactions. (all must be true to select this option) 2: The project has an updated procurement plan. The project manage and addresses them through appropriate manage 1: The project does not have an updated procurement plan.	respective ASL anual. iciently contribute to results? (select the option from 1-3 that intation of the plan is on or ahead of schedule. The project quarterly manner and addresses them through appropriate management ect annually reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a sement actions. (all must be true to select this option) i. The project may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks.

efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true to select this option)

3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviews costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximizes results that can be delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinates with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and seek

7/11/2019	Implementation and Monitoring Stage Quality Assurance Report
② 2: The project monitors its own costs and gives anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the result,) but there is no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinates activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.	
1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitors its own costs and is considering ways to save money beyon following standard procurement rules.	
Evidence	
Este es un proyecto NIM do asegurar el mejor uso de los	nde ellos realizan sus propios procesos y en efecto hay una revisión de estos costos-beneficios par recursos
ffective	Quality Rating: Needs Improvement
7. Is the project on track to	deliver its expected outputs?
Yes	
No	
Evidence	
atraso en la producción de lo	atraso importante en cuanto al cumplimiento de su delivery financiero, también hay un cierto nivel de se diagnósticos. Sin embargo en esta última parte ha tenido un mejor desempeño hacia el segundo a que la implementaicón en 2018 mejorará dado que ahora tienen mejor manejo de la normativa NIM.
	reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project is on track to achieve the desired results, ions if needed? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
most likely to achieve the de-	ata has informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented are sired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations and/or After sed to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions have been made. (both option)
the desired development resu	ast one review of the work plan during the year to assess if project activities are on track to achieving alts (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned has been used to essary budget revisions have been made.
	m may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs are delivered or to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by e over the past year.
Evidence	Management Response
procesos internos del MARN	al en el proyecto, sin embargo los tienen que ser mejorados para sos del proyecto, a fin de agilizar

19. Are targeted groups being systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results are achieved as expected? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development oppo that the targeted groups are being reached as intended. The	raphic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity rtunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence e project has engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year ustments were made if necessary to refine targeting. (all must be true to		
deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities	graphic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, is relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to ited groups. There has been some engagement with beneficiaries in the id. (all must be true to select this option)		
1: The project does not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There may have been some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they are benefiting as expected, but it has been limited or has not occurred in the past year.			
Not Applicable			
Evidence			
Los grupos beneficiarios y las áreas geofráficas están deli acciones.	mitadas y son involucrados para maximizar la incidencia de las		
0. Are at least 40 per cent of the personnel hired by the	project, regardless of contract type, female?		
Yes			
O No			
El provente tione 2 requires en un hombre y une muier			
El proyecto tiene 2 recursos, un hombre y una mujer			
ustainability & National Ownership	Quality Rating: Satisfactory		
1. Are stakeholders and national partners fully engaged roject? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the	d in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project)		
	ng, evaluation, etc.) are used to fully implement and monitor the project. engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, it this option)		
② 2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used in combination with other support (such as country office support or project systems) to implement and monitor the project, as necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true to select this option)			
1: There is relatively limited or no engagement with na implementation and/or monitoring of the project.	tional stakeholders and partners in the decision-making,		
Not Applicable			
Evidence	Management Response		
Este es un provecto que requiere una coordinación			

Este es un proyecto que requiere una coordinación interinstitucional de alto nivel. En ese sentido, los titulares están involucrados con los resultados del proyecto.

the project. The implementation <u>arrangements</u> have bee	and performance of national institutions and systems relevant to nadjusted according to changes in partner capacities. (select
the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)	
assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods	nce of national institutions and systems have been comprehensively s of data collection and credible data sources including HACT in formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with just be true to select this option)
been monitored by the project using indicators and reasonal	nd performance of relevant national institutions and systems have bly credible data sources including HACT assurance activities. Some if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (both must be true
monitored by the project, however changes to implementatio	ance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been in arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
O Not Applicable	
Evidence	Management Response
En efecto, el proyecto tiene que tener algunos compases de espera o cambio en las acciones a implementar dependiend de los cambios de las capacidades nacionales, además de acuerdos en cuanto a la legalidad de los territorios que se va afectar.	los
financial commitments and capacity). (select the option fi	wed regularly and adjusted according to progress (including rom 1-3 that best reflects the project)
	c in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan has been
for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track adjusted according to progress as needed. (both must be true	in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan has been ue to select this option) y plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure
for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track adjusted according to progress as needed. (both must be true) 2: There has been a review of the project's sustainability the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by	y plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure y the plan. has not been a review of this strategy since it was developed. Also

QA Summary/Project Board Comments:

El proyecto requiere de Metodologías de Desarrollo Local, también se requiere que el recurso humano esté asociado al MARN y que no sea recurso temporal, por lo que el MARN ha propuesto que las acciones sean visualizadas con un enfoque de sostenibilidad dentro del MARN (cambio de modalidad de contratos). Las acciones en territorio deben contener el enfoque de derecho y sostenibilidad ambiental.